



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/823,222 03/29/2001		Uli Behringer	30914/7:1	6067	
3528 75	90 03/27/2006		EXAMINER		
STOEL RIVES LLP		GRIER, LAURA A			
900 SW FIFTH	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2600			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, (	OR 97204-1268	2615			

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/823,22	09/823,222		BEHRINGER ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
•		Laura A. (	erier -	2644			
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)☐ This 3)☐ Sinc	consive to communication(s) file action is <b>FINAL</b> .  e this application is in condition accordance with the practi	2b) This action is n for allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4a) C 5) ☐ Clair 6) ☑ Clair 7) ☑ Clair 8) ☐ Clair  Application P 9) ☐ The s Appli	on(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are m(s) is/are allowed.  on(s) 1,4,6,8,9,11 and 14-20 is/are m(s) 2,3,5,7,10,12 and 13 is/are m(s) are subject to restrice apers  specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are cant may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to declaration.	re withdrawn from core rejected. objected to. ction and/or election re e Examiner. a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) to	equirement.  objected to by the location be held in abeyance. See the difference of the drawing (s) is objected in the drawi	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. & 119						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or )/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)		

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 11, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Stengel, U. S. Patent No. 5442317.

Regarding claims 1, 11, 15-20 Stengel discloses amplifier system which discloses an

audio input signal (which may be a radio), two input stages of comparators, wherein the input

signal has a positive and negative characterisitics and have nonlinear performance curves,

wherein one is the inverse of the input signal, and the amplifier itself functions as a difference

amplifier (col. 4, lines 44-65, col. 5, lines 54-68 – col. 6, lines 1-8, and col. 8, lines 20-39 and

figures 3 and 4).

Regarding claim 4, Stengel discloses the first and second input stages as comparators,

which constitute as amplifiers.

Regarding claim 6, Stengel discloses the amplifier as having a linear characterisitics (col.

8, lines 21-39).

Regarding claim 8, Stengel discloses the circuit of the amplifier in a cascaded fashion

(figures 3 and 4).

Application/Control Number: 09/823,222

Art Unit: 2644

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 are 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stengel in view of AAPA.

Regarding claims 9 and 14, Stengel discloses everything claimed as claimed. However, Stengel fails to to disclose the signal source as an electrical musical instrument. The AAPA discloses on pages 2 and 3 audio inputs from musical instruments, which reads on a signal source providing the audio signal.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Stengel by implementing an audio signal source for purpose of enhancing distortion performance of the audio signal.

Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 10, 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2644

The applicant provided argument in view of the 112 rejections. The 112 rejections have been removed. Upon further search and consideration, an art rejection has been provided in view of the claimed invention.

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2644

lag